arXiv:1404.1901v3 [math.AC] 5 Mar 2016

INVERTIBLE IDEALS AND GAUSSIAN SEMIRINGS

SHABAN GHALANDARZADEH, PEYMAN NASEHPOUR, AND RAFIEH RAZAVI

ABSTRACT. In the first section of this paper, we introduce the notions of fractional and invertible ideals of semirings and characterize invertible ideals of a semidomain. In section two, we define Prüfer semirings and characterize them in terms of valuation semirings. In this section, we also characterize Prüfer semirings in terms of some identities over its ideals such as $(I+J)(I\cap J)=IJ$ for all ideals I, J of S. In the third section, we give a semiring version for the Gilmer-Tsang Theorem, which states that for a suitable family of semirings, the concepts of Prüfer and Gaussian semirings are equivalent. At last we end this paper by giving a plenty of examples of proper Gaussian and Prüfer semirings.

0. INTRODUCTION

Vandiver introduced the term "semi-ring" and its structure in 1934 ([21]), though the early examples of semirings had appeared in the works of Dedekind in 1894, when he had been working on the algebra of the ideals of commutative rings ([4]). Despite the great efforts of some mathematicians on semiring theory in 1940s, 1950s, and early 1960s, they were apparently not successful to draw the attention of mathematical society to consider the semiring theory as a serious line of mathematical research. Actually, it was in the late 1960s that semiring theory was considered a more important topic for research when real applications were found for semirings. Eilenberg and a couple of other mathematicians started developing formal languages and automata theory systematically ([5]), which have strong connections to semirings. Since then, because of the wonderful applications of semirings in engineering, many mathematicians and computer scientists have broadened the theory of semirings and related structures ([8]). As stated in [10, p. 6], multiplicative ideal theoretic methods in ring theory are certainly one of the major sources of inspiration and problems for semiring theory. In the present paper, we develop some ring theoretic methods of multiplicative ideal theory for semirings as follows:

Let, for the moment, R be a commutative ring with identity. The Dedekind-Mertens lemma in ring theory states that if f and g are two elements of the polynomial ring $R[X]$, then there exists a natural number n such that $c(f)^{n-1}c(fg)=c(f)^n c(g)$, where by the content $c(f)$ of an arbitrary polynomial $f\in R[X]$, it is meant the R-ideal generated by the coefficients of f. From this, it is clear that if R is a Prüfer domain, then R is Gaussian, i.e. $c(fg)=c(f)c(g)$ for all $f,g\in R[X]$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y60, 13B25, 13F25, 06D75.

Keywords: Semiring, Semiring polynomials, Gaussian semiring, Cancellation ideals, Invertible ideals

The first and third named authors were partly supported by K. N. Toosi University of Technology. The second named author was partially supported by University of Tehran.

Gilmer in [7] and Tsang in [20], independently, proved that the inverse of the above statement is also correct in this sense that if R is a Gaussian domain, then R is a Prüfer domain.

Since Gaussian semirings were introduced in [15] and the Dedekind-Mertens lemma was proved for subtractive semirings in the same paper, our motivation for this work was to see how one can define invertible ideals for semirings to use them in Dedekind-Mertens lemma and discover another family of Gaussian semirings. We also asked ourselves if a kind of Gilmer-Tsang Theorem holds for polynomial semirings. Therefore it is not a surprising that while investigating these questions, we needed to borrow some definitions and techniques - for example Prüfer domains - from multiplicative ideal theory for rings. In most cases, we also constructed examples of proper semirings - semirings that are not rings - satisfying the conditions of those definitions and results to show that what we bring in this paper are really generalizations of their ring version ones. Since different authors have used the term "semiring" with some different meanings, it is essential, from the beginning, to clarify what we mean by a semiring.

In this paper, by a semiring, we understand an algebraic structure, consisting of a nonempty set S with two operations of addition and multiplication such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $(S,+)$ is a commutative monoid with identity element 0;
(2) $(S,\cdot)$ is a commutative monoid with identity element $1 \neq 0$;
(3) Multiplication distributes over addition, i.e. $a(b+c) = ab+ac$ for all $a,b,c \in S$;
(4) The element 0 is the absorbing element of the multiplication, i.e. $s \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

From the above definition, it is clear for the reader that the semirings are fairly interesting generalizations of the two important and broadly studied algebraic structures, i.e. rings and bounded distributive lattices.

A nonempty subset I of a semiring S is defined to be an ideal of S if $a,b \in I$ and $s \in S$ implies that $a+b, sa \in I$. An ideal I of a semiring S is said to be subtractive, if $a+b \in I$ and $a \in I$ implies $b \in I$ for all $a,b \in S$. A semiring S is said to be subtractive if every ideal of the semiring S is subtractive. An ideal P of S is called a prime ideal of S if $P \neq S$ and $ab \in P$ implies that $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ for all $a,b \in S$.

In the first section of the present paper, we define fractional and invertible ideals and show that any invertible ideal of a local semidomain is principal. Note that a semiring S is called a semidomain if for any nonzero element s of S, $sb = sc$ implies that $b = c$. A semiring is said to be local if it has only one maximal ideal. We also prove that any invertible ideal of a weak Gaussian semi-local semidomain is principal. Note that a semiring is defined to be a weak Gaussian semiring if all its prime ideals are subtractive ([15]) and a semiring is said to be semi-local if the set of its maximal ideals is finite. Also note that localization of semirings has been introduced and investigated in [12]. It is good to mention that an equivalent definition for the localization of semirings has been given in [10, Chap. 11].

At last in this section, we show that if I is a finitely generated ideal of a semidomain S, then I is invertible iff $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a principal ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S.

In section two, we observe that if S is a semiring, then every nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is an invertible ideal of S iff every nonzero principal and every nonzero 2-generated ideal of S is an invertible ideal of S. This result and a nice example of a proper semiring having this property, motivate us to define Prüfer semiring, the semiring that each of its nonzero finitely generated ideal is invertible. After that we prove that a semidomain S is a Prüfer semiring iff one of the following equivalent statements holds:

(1) $I(J\cap K)=IJ\cap IK$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S,
(2) $(I+J)(I\cap J)=IJ$ for all ideals I and J of S,
(3) $[(I+J):K]=[I:K]+[J:K]$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S with K finitely generated,
(4) $[I:J]+[J:I]=S$ for all finitely generated ideals I and J of S,
(5) $[K:I\cap J]=[K:I]+[K:J]$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S with I and J finitely generated.

Note that, in the above, it is defined that $[I\colon J]=\{s\in S\colon sJ\subseteq I\}$. Also note that this theorem is the semiring version of Theorem 6.6 in [14], though we give partly an alternative proof for the semiring generalization of its ring version.

Finally in section two, we characterize Prüfer semirings in terms of valuation semirings. In fact we prove that a semiring S is Prüfer iff one of the following statements holds:

(1) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of S, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation semidomain.
(2) For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S, $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a valuation semidomain.

A nonzero ideal I of a semiring S is called a cancellation ideal, if $IJ=IK$ implies $J=K$ for all ideals J and K of S ([13]). Let $f\in S[X]$ be a polynomial over the semiring S. The content of f, denoted by $c(f)$, is defined to be the ideal generated by the coefficients of f. It is, then, easy to see that $c(fg)\subseteq c(f)c(g)$ for all $f,g\in S[X]$. Finally a semiring S is defined to be a Gaussian semiring if $c(fg)=c(f)c(g)$ for all $f,g\in S[X]$ ([15]).

In the third section of this paper, we discuss Gaussian semirings and prove a semiring version of the Gilmer-Tsang Theorem with the following statement:

Let S be a subtractive semiring such that every nonzero principal ideal of S is invertible and $ab\in(a^2,b^2)$ for all $a,b\in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) S is a Prüfer semiring,
(2) Each nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is cancellation,
(3) $[IJ\colon I]=J$ for all ideals I and J of S,
(4) S is a Gaussian semiring.

At last we end this paper by giving a plenty of examples of proper Gaussian and Prüfer semirings. Actually, we prove that if S is a Prüfer semiring (say for example S is a Prüfer domain), then $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is a Prüfer semiring, where by $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ we mean the semiring of finitely generated ideals of S.

In this paper, all semirings are assumed to be commutative with identity. Also note that the phrase *iff* always stands for "if and only if". Unless otherwise stated, our terminology and notation will follow as closely as possible that of [6].

1. Fractional and invertible ideals of semirings

In this section, we introduce fractional and invertible ideals for semirings and prove a couple of interesting results for them. Note that whenever we feel it is necessary, we remind concepts related to semiring theory to make the paper self-contained.

A nonempty subset I of a semiring S is defined to be an ideal of S if $a,b \in I$ and $s \in S$ implies that $a+b, sa \in I$ ([3]).

Let us remind that $T \subseteq S$ is said to be a multiplicatively closed set of S (MC-set of S for short) provided that if $a,b \in T$, then $ab \in T$. The localization of S at T is defined in the following way:

First define the equivalent relation $\sim$ on $S \times T$ by $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$, if $tad = tbc$ for some $t \in T$. Then Put S_T the set of all equivalence classes of $S \times T$ and define addition and multiplication on S_T respectively by $[a,b]+[c,d] = [ad+bc, bd]$ and $[a,b]\cdot[c,d] = [ac,bd]$, where by $[a,b]$, also denoted by a/b, we mean the equivalence class of (a,b). It is, then, easy to see that S_T with the mentioned operations of addition and multiplication in above is a semiring ([12]).

Also note that an element s of a semiring S is said to be multiplicatively-cancellable (abbreviated as MC), if $sb = sc$ implies $b = c$ for all $b,c \in S$. We denote the set of all MC elements of S by $\mathrm{MC}(S)$. It is clear that $\mathrm{MC}(S)$ is an MC-set of S. Similar to ring theory, total quotient semiring $\mathrm{Q}(S)$ of the semiring S is defined as the localization of S at $\mathrm{MC}(S)$. For the concept of the localization in semiring theory, refer to [12]. Note that $\mathrm{Q}(S)$ is also an S-semimodule. Now we define fractional ideals of a semiring as follows:

Definition 1. Fractional ideal. We define a fractional ideal of a semiring S to be a subset I of the total quotient semiring $\mathrm{Q}(S)$ of S such that:

(1) I is an S-subsemimodule of $\mathrm{Q}(S)$, that is, if $a,b \in I$ and $s \in S$, then $a+b \in I$ and $sa \in I$.
(2) There exists an MC element $d \in S$ such that $dI \subseteq S$.

Let us denote the set of all nonzero fractional ideals of S by $\mathrm{Frac}(S)$. It is easy to check that $\mathrm{Frac}(S)$ equipped with the following multiplication of fractional ideals is a commutative monoid:

$$I \cdot J = \{a_1b_1 + \cdots + a_nb_n : a_i \in I, b_i \in J\}.$$

Definition 2. Invertible ideal. We define a fractional ideal I of a semiring S to be invertible if there exists a fractional ideal J of S such that $IJ = S$.

It is clear that the set of invertible ideals of a semiring equipped with the multiplication of fractional ideals is an Abelian group.

Theorem 3. *Let S be a semiring with its total quotient semiring $\mathrm{Q}(S)$.*

(1) *If $I \in \mathrm{Frac}(S)$ is invertible, then I is a finitely generated S-subsemimodule of $\mathrm{Q}(S)$.*
(2) *If $I, J \in \mathrm{Frac}(S)$ and $I \subseteq J$ and J is invertible, then there is an ideal K of S such that $I = JK$.*
(3) *If $I \in \mathrm{Frac}(S)$, then I is invertible iff there is a fractional ideal J of S such that IJ is principal and generated by an MC element of $\mathrm{Q}(S)$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is nothing but the mimic of the proof of its ring version in [14, Proposition 6.3]. □

Let us recall that a semiring S is defined to be a semidomain, if each nonzero element of the semiring S is an MC element of S.

Proposition 4. *Let S be a semiring and $a \in S$. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *The principle ideal (a) is invertible iff a is an MC element of S.*
(2) *The semiring S is a semidomain iff each nonzero principle ideal of S is an invertible ideal of S.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Prime and maximal ideals of a semiring are defined similar to rings ([10, Chap. 7]). Note that the set of the unit elements of a semiring S is denoted by $U(S)$. Also note that when S is a semidomain, $\mathrm{MC}(S) = S - \{0\}$ and the localization of S at $\mathrm{MC}(S)$ is called the semifield of fractions of the semidomain S and usually denoted by $\mathrm{F}(S)$ ([9]).

Proposition 5. *Any invertible ideal of a local semidomain is principal.*

Proof. Let I be an invertible ideal of a local semidomain $(S, \mathfrak{m})$. It is clear that there are $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ and $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathrm{F}(S)$, such that $s_1t_1 + \cdots + s_nt_n = 1$. This implies that at least one of the elements s_it_i is a unit, since if all of them are nonunit, their sum will be in $\mathfrak{m}$ and cannot be equal to 1. Assume that $s_1t_1 \in U(S)$. Now we have $S = (s_1)(t_1) \subseteq I(t_1) \subseteq II^{-1} = S$, which obviously implies that $I = (s_1)$ and the proof is complete. □

Now we prove a similar statement for weak Gaussian semirings introduced in [15]. Note that any prime ideal of a weak Gaussian semiring is subtractive ([15, Theorem 19]). Using this property, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 6. *Any invertible ideal of a weak Gaussian semi-local semidomain is principal.*

Proof. Let S be a weak Gaussian semi-local semidomain and $\mathrm{Max}(S) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_n\}$ and $II^{-1} = S$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 5, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist $a_i \in I$ and $b_i \in I^{-1}$ such that $a_ib_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_i$. Since by [10, Corollary 7.13] any maximal ideal of a semiring is prime, one can easily check that any $\mathfrak{m}_i$ cannot contain the intersection of the remaining maximal ideals of S. So for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, one can find a u_i, where u_i is not in $\mathfrak{m}_i$, while it is in all the other maximal ideals of S. Put $v = u_1b_1 + \cdots + u_nb_n$. It is obvious that $v \in I^{-1}$, which causes vI to be an ideal of S. Our claim is that vI is not a subset of any maximal ideal of S. In contrary assume that vI is a subset of a maximal ideal, say $\mathfrak{m}_1$. This implies that $va_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. But

$$va_1 = (u_1b_1 + \cdots + u_nb_n)a_1.$$

Also note that $u_ib_ia_i \in \mathfrak{m}_1$ for any $i \geq 2$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_1$ is subtractive, $u_1b_1a_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, a contradiction. From all we said we have that $vI = S$ and finally $I = (v^{-1})$, as required. □

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward, but we bring it only for the sake of reference.

Lemma 7. *Let I be an invertible ideal in a semidomain S and T an MC-set. Then I_T is an invertible ideal of S_T.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

Let us remind that if $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of S, then $S-\mathfrak{m}$ is an MC-set of S and the localization of S at $S-\mathfrak{m}$ is simply shown by $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ ([12]). Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 8. *Let I be a finitely generated ideal of a semidomain S. Then I is invertible iff $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a principal ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S.*

Proof. Let S be a semidomain and I its finitely generated ideal.

$(\rightarrow)$: If I is invertible, then by Lemma 7, $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is invertible and therefore by Proposition 5, is principal.

$(\leftarrow)$: Assume that $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a principal ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S. For the ideal I, define $J := \{x \in \mathrm{F}(S) : xI \subseteq S\}$. It is easy to check that J is a fractional ideal of S and $IJ \subseteq S$ is an ideal of S. Our claim is that $IJ = S$. On the contrary, suppose that $IJ \neq S$. So IJ lies under a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S. By hypothesis $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is principal. We can choose a generator for $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to be an element $z \in I$. Now let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be generators of I in S. It is, then, clear that for any a_i, one can find an $s_i \in S-\mathfrak{m}$ such that $a_i s_i \in (z)$. Set $s = s_1 \cdots s_n$. Since $(sz^{-1})a_i \in S$, by definition of J, we have $sz^{-1} \in J$. But now $s = (sz^{-1})z \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ that is a contradiction and the proof is complete. □

Now the question arises if there is any proper semiring, which each of its nonzero finitely generated ideals is invertible. The answer is affirmative and next section is devoted to such semirings.

2. PRÜFER SEMIRINGS

First we prove the following important theorem, which its ring version can be found in [14, Theorem 6.6].

Theorem 9. *Let S be a semiring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *Each nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is an invertible ideal of S,*
2. *The semiring S is a semidomain and every nonzero 2-generated ideal of S is an invertible ideal of S.*

Proof. Obviously the first assertion implies the second one. We prove that the second assertion implies the first one. The proof is by induction. Let $n > 2$ be a natural number and suppose that all nonzero ideals of S generated by less than n generators are invertible ideals and $L = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$ be an ideal of S. If we put $I = (a_1)$, $J = (a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ and $K = (a_n)$, then by induction's hypothesis the ideals $I+J$, $J+K$ and $K+I$ are all invertible ideals. On the other hand, a simple calculation shows that the identity $(I+J)(J+K)(K+I) = (I+J+K)(IJ+JK+KI)$ holds. Also since product of fractional ideals of S is invertible if and only if every factor of this product is invertible, the ideal $I+J+K = L$ is invertible and the proof is complete. □

A ring R is said to be a Prüfer domain if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of R is invertible. It is, now, natural to ask if there is any proper semiring S with this property that every nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is invertible. In the following remark, we give such an example.

Remark 10. Example of a proper semiring with this property that every nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is invertible: Obviously $(\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z}),+,\cdot)$ is a semidomain, since any element of $\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z})$ is of the form (n) such that n is a nonnegative integer and $(a)(b)=(ab)$. Let I be an arbitrary ideal of $\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z})$. Define A_I to be the set of all positive integers n such that $(n)\in I$ and put $m=\min A_I$. Our claim is that I is the principal ideal of $\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z})$, generated by (m), i.e. $I=((m))$. For doing so, let (d) be an element of I. But then $(\gcd(d,m))=(d)+(m)$ and therefore $(\gcd(d,m))\in I$. This means that $m\leq\gcd(d,m)$, since $m=\min A_I$, while $\gcd(d,m)\leq m$ and this implies that $\gcd(d,m)=m$ and so m divides d and therefore there exists a natural number r such that $d=rm$. Hence, $(d)=(r)(m)$ and the proof of our claim is finished. From all we said we learn that each ideal of the semiring $\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a principal and, therefore, an invertible ideal, while obviously it is not a ring.

By Theorem 9 and the example given in Remark 10, we are inspired to give the following definition:

Definition 11. We define a semiring S to be a Prüfer semiring if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is invertible.

First we prove the following interesting lemmas:

Lemma 12. *Let S be a Prüfer semiring. Then $I\cap(J+K)=I\cap J+I\cap K$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S.*

Proof. Let $s\in I\cap(J+K)$. So there are $s_1\in J$ and $s_2\in K$ such that $s=s_1+s_2\in I$. If we put $L=(s_1,s_2)$, by definition, we have $LL^{-1}=S$. Consequently there are $t_1,t_2\in L^{-1}$ such that $s_1t_1+s_2t_2=1$. So $s=ss_1t_1+ss_2t_2$. But $st_1,st_2\in S$, since $s=s_1+s_2\in L$. Therefore $ss_1t_1\in J$ and $ss_2t_2\in K$. Moreover $s_1t_1,s_2t_2\in S$ and therefore $ss_1t_1,ss_2t_2\in I$. This implies that $ss_1t_1\in I\cap J$, $ss_2t_2\in I\cap K$, and $s\in I\cap J+I\cap K$, which means that $I\cap(J+K)\subseteq I\cap J+I\cap K$. Since the reverse inclusion is always true, $I\cap(J+K)=I\cap J+I\cap K$ and this finishes the proof. □

Lemma 13. *Let S be a Prüfer semiring. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *If I and K are ideals of S, with K finitely generated, and if $I\subseteq K$, then there is an ideal J of S such that $I=JK$.*
(2) *If $IJ=IK$, where I, J and K are ideals of S and I is finitely generated and nonzero, then $J=K$.*

Proof. By considering Theorem 3, the assertion (1) holds. The assertion (2) is straightforward. □

Note that the second property in Lemma 13 is the concept of cancellation ideal for semirings, introduced in [13]. Therefore each invertible ideal of a semiring is cancellation. While the topic of cancellation ideals is itself interesting and we use them in the next section, we do not go through them deeply in the present paper.

Now we prove an important theorem that is rather the semiring version of Theorem 6.6 in [14]. While some parts of our proof is similar to those ones in Theorem 6.6 in [14] and Proposition 4 in [19], other parts of the proof are apparently original. Note that similar to ring theory, for any ideals I,J of a semiring S, it is defined that $[I\colon J]=\{s\in S\colon sJ\subseteq I\}$.

Theorem 14. *Let S be a semidomain. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *The semiring S is a Prüfer semiring,*
(2) *$I(J\cap K)=IJ\cap IK$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S,*
(3) *$(I+J)(I\cap J)=IJ$ for all ideals I and J of S,*
(4) *$[(I+J):K]=[I:K]+[J:K]$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S with K finitely generated,*
(5) *$[I:J]+[J:I]=S$ for all finitely generated ideals I and J of S,*
(6) *$[K:I\cap J]=[K:I]+[K:J]$ for all ideals I, J, and K of S with I and J finitely generated.*

Proof. (1) $\rightarrow$ (2): It is clear that $I(J\cap K)\subseteq IJ\cap IK$. Let $s\in IJ\cap IK$. So we can write $s=\sum_{i=1}^{m}t_iz_i=\sum_{j=1}^{n}t'_jz'_j$, where $t_i,t'_j\in I$, $z_i\in J$, and $z'_j\in K$ for all $1\leq i\leq m$ and $1\leq j\leq n$. Put $I_1=(t_1,\ldots,t_m)$, $I_2=(t'_1,\ldots,t'_n)$, $J'=(z_1,\ldots,z_m)$, $K'=(z'_1,\ldots,z'_n)$, and $I_3=I_1+I_2$. Then $I_1J'\cap I_2K'\subseteq I_3J'\cap I_3K'\subseteq I_3$. Since I_3 is a finitely generated ideal of S, by Lemma 13, there exists an ideal L of S such that $I_3J'\cap I_3K'=I_3L$. Note that $L={I_3}^{-1}(I_3J'\cap I_3K')\subseteq {I_3}^{-1}(I_3J')=J'$. Moreover $L={I_3}^{-1}(I_3J'\cap I_3K')\subseteq {I_3}^{-1}(I_3K')=K'$. Therefore $L\subseteq J'\cap K'$. Thus $s\in I_3J'\cap I_3K'=I_3L\subseteq I_3(J'\cap K')\subseteq I(J\cap K)$.

(2) $\rightarrow$ (3): Let $I,J\subseteq S$. Then $(I+J)(I\cap J)=(I+J)I\cap(I+J)J\supseteq IJ$. Since the reverse inclusion always holds, $(I+J)(I\cap J)=IJ$.

(3) $\rightarrow$ (1): By hypothesis, every two generated ideal $I=(s_1,s_2)$ is a factor of the invertible ideal (s_1s_2) and therefore it is itself invertible. Now by considering Theorem 9, it is clear that the semiring S is a Prüfer semiring.

(1) $\rightarrow$ (4): Let $s\in S$ such that $sK\subseteq I+J$. So $sK\subseteq(I+J)\cap K$. By Lemma 12, $sK\subseteq I\cap K+J\cap K$. Therefore $s\in(I\cap K)K^{-1}+(J\cap K)K^{-1}$. Thus $s=\sum_{i=1}^{m}t_iz_i+\sum_{j=1}^{n}t'_jz'_j$, where $z_i,z'_j\in K^{-1}$, $t_i\in I\cap K$, and $t'_j\in J\cap K$ for all $1\leq i\leq m$ and $1\leq j\leq n$. Let $x\in K$ and $1\leq i\leq m$. Then $z_ix,z_it_i\in S$ and so $t_iz_ix\in I\cap K$. Therefore $(\sum_{i=1}^{m}t_iz_i)K\subseteq I\cap K\subseteq I$. In a similar way, $(\sum_{j=1}^{n}t'_jz'_j)K\subseteq J\cap K\subseteq J$. Thus $s\in[I:K]+[J:K]$. Therefore $[(I+J):K]\subseteq[I:K]+[J:K]$. Since the reverse inclusion is always true, $[(I+J):K]=[I:K]+[J:K]$.

(4) $\rightarrow$ (5): Let I and J be finitely generated ideals of S. Then $S=[I+J:I+J]=[I:I+J]+[J:I+J]\subseteq[I:J]+[J:I]\subseteq S$.

(5) $\rightarrow$ (6): ([19, Proposition 4]) It is clear that $[K:I]+[K:J]\subseteq[K:I\cap J]$. Let $s\in S$ such that $s(I\cap J)\subseteq K$. By hypothesis, $S=[I:J]+[J:I]$. So there exist $t_1\in[I:J]$ and $t_2\in[J:I]$ such that $1=t_1+t_2$. This implies that $s=st_1+st_2$. Let $x\in I$. Then $t_2x\in J$. Therefore $t_2x\in I\cap J$. Since $s(I\cap J)\subseteq K$, $st_2x\in K$. Thus $st_2\in[K:I]$. Now let $y\in J$. Then $t_1y\in I$. Therefore $t_1y\in I\cap J$. Thus $st_1\in[K:J]$. So finally we have $s\in[K:I]+[K:J]$. Therefore $[K:I\cap J]\subseteq[K:I]+[K:J]$.

(6) $\rightarrow$ (1): The proof is just a mimic of the proof of [14, Theorem 6.6] and therefore it is omitted. □

We end this section by characterizing Prüfer semirings in terms of valuation semidomains. Note that valuation semirings have been introduced and investigated in [16]. Let us remind that a semiring is called to be a Bézout semiring if each of its finitely generated ideal is principal.

Proposition 15. *A local semidomain is a valuation semidomain iff it is a Bézout semidomain.*

Proof. $(\rightarrow)$: Straightforward.

$(\leftarrow)$: Let S be a local semidomain. Take $x,y \in S$ such that both of them are nonzero. Assume that $(x,y) = (d)$ for some nonzero $d \in S$. Define $x' = x/d$ and $y' = y/d$. It is clear that there are $a,b \in S$ such that $ax' + by' = 1$. Since S is local, one of ax' and by' must be unit, say ax'. So x' is also unit and therefore $(y') \subseteq S = (x')$. Now multiplying the both sides of the inclusion by d gives us the result $(y) \subseteq (x)$ and by Theorem 20 in [16], the proof is complete. □

Now we get the following nice result:

Theorem 16. *For a semidomain S, the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *S is Prüfer.*
(2) *For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation semidomain.*
(3) *For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a valuation semidomain.*

Proof. $(1) \rightarrow (2)$:

Let J be a finitely generated nonzero ideal in $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$, generated by $s_1/u_1, \ldots, s_n/u_n$, where $s_i \in S$ and $u_i \in S - \mathfrak{p}$. It is clear that $J = I_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $I = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. By hypothesis, I is invertible. So by Theorem 8, J is principal. This means that $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Bézout semidomain and since it is local, by Proposition 15, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation semidomain.

$(2) \rightarrow (3)$: Trivial.

$(3) \rightarrow (1)$: Let I be a nonzero finitely generated ideal of S. Then for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of S, $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a nonzero principal ideal of $S_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and by Theorem 8, I is invertible. So we have proved that the semiring S is Prüfer and the proof is complete. □

Now we pass to the next section that is on Gaussian semirings.

3. Gaussian Semirings

In this section, we discuss Gaussian semirings. For doing so, we need to recall some concepts. An ideal I of a semiring S is said to be subtractive, if $a+b \in I$ and $a \in I$ implies $b \in I$ for all $a,b \in S$. A semiring S is said to be subtractive if every ideal of the semiring S is subtractive. Note that the boolean semiring $\mathbb{B} = \{0,1\}$ is a subtractive semiring, but the semiring $\mathbb{N}_0$ is not, since its ideal $\mathbb{N}_0 - \{1\}$ is not subtractive. As a matter of fact, all subtractive ideals of the semiring $\mathbb{N}_0$ are of the form $k\mathbb{N}_0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ([17, Proposition 6]).

Let us also remind that for a polynomial $f \in S[X]$, the content of f, denoted by $c(f)$, is defined to be the finitely generated ideal of S generated by the coefficients of f. In [15, Theorem 3], the semiring version of the Dedekind-Mertens lemma (cf. [18, p. 24] and [1]) has been proved. We state that in the following only for the convenience of the reader:

Theorem 17. ***Dedekind-Mertens Lemma for Semirings.*** *Let S be a semiring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *S is a subtractive semiring,*
(2) *If $f,g \in S[X]$ and $\deg(g) = m$, then $c(f)^{m+1}c(g) = c(f)^m c(fg)$.*

A semiring S is said to be Gaussian if $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$ for all polynomials $f, g \in S[X]$ ([15, Definition 7]). This is the ring version of the concept Gaussian rings defined in [20]. For more on Gaussian rings, one may refer to [2].

Note that by Theorem 17, a Gaussian semiring needs to be subtractive. With this background, it is, now, easy to see that if every nonzero finitely generated ideal of a subtractive semiring S, is invertible, then S is Gaussian. Also note that a famous theorem in commutative ring theory, known as Gilmer-Tsang Theorem (cf. [7] and [20]), states that D is a Prüfer domain iff D is a Gaussian domain. The question may arise if a semiring version for Gilmer-Tsang Theorem can be proved. This is what we are going to do in the rest of the paper. First we prove the following interesting theorem:

Theorem 18. *Let S be a semiring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *S is a Gaussian semidomain and $ab \in (a^2, b^2)$ for all $a, b \in S$,*
(2) *S is a subtractive and Prüfer semiring.*

Proof. $(1) \to (2)$: Since $ab \in (a^2, b^2)$, there exists $r, s \in S$ such that $ab = ra^2 + sb^2$. Now put $f = a + bX$ and $g = sb + raX$. It is easy to check that $fg = sab + abX + rabX^2$. Since S is Gaussian, S is subtractive by Theorem 17, and we have $c(fg) = c(f)c(g)$, i.e. $(ab) = (a, b)(sb, ra)$. But $(ab) = (a)(b)$ is invertible and therefore (a, b) is also invertible and by Theorem 9, S is a Prüfer semiring.

$(2) \to (1)$: Since S is a subtractive and Prüfer semiring, by Theorem 17, S is a Gaussian semiring. On the other hand, one can verify that $(ab)(a, b) \subseteq (a^2, b^2)(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in S$ and if $a = b = 0$, then there is nothing to be proved. Otherwise since (a, b) is an invertible ideal of S, we have $ab \in (a^2, b^2)$ and this completes the proof. □

Now let us recall the definition of cancellation ideals.

Definition 19. A nonzero ideal I of the semiring S is called a cancellation ideal, if $IJ = IK$ implies $J = K$ for all ideals J and K of S ([13]).

The following lemma is the semiring version of an assertion mentioned in [6, Exercise. 4, p. 66].

Lemma 20. *Let S be a semiring and I be a nonzero ideal of S. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *I is a cancellation ideal of S,*
(2) *$[IJ : I] = J$ for any ideal J of S,*
(3) *$IJ \subseteq IK$ implies $J \subseteq K$ for all ideals J, K of S.*

Proof. By considering this point that the equality $[IJ : I]I = IJ$ holds for all ideals I, J of S, it is, then, easy to see that (1) implies (2). The rest of the proof is straightforward. □

Theorem 21. ***Gilmer-Tsang Theorem for Semirings.*** *Let S be a subtractive semidomain such that $ab \in (a^2, b^2)$ for all $a, b \in S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *S is a Prüfer semiring,*
(2) *Each nonzero finitely generated ideal of S is cancellation,*
(3) *$[IJ : I] = J$ for all finitely generated ideals I and J of S,*
(4) *S is a Gaussian semiring.*

Proof. Obviously (1) $\to$ (2) and (2) $\to$ (3) hold by Proposition 13 and Lemma 20 respectively.

(3) $\to$ (4): Let $f,g \in S[X]$. By Theorem 17, we have $c(f)c(g)c(f)^m = c(fg)c(f)^m$. So $[c(f)c(g)c(f)^m : c(f)^m] = [c(fg)c(f)^m : c(f)^m]$. This means that $c(f)c(g) = c(fg)$ and S is Gaussian.

Finally (4) $\to$ (1) by Theorem 18 and this finishes the proof. □

Remark 22. In [15, Theorem 9], it has been proved that every bounded distributive lattice is a Gaussian semiring. Also note that if L is a bounded distributive lattice with more than two elements, it is neither a ring nor a semidomain, since if it is a ring then the idempotency of addition causes $L = \{0\}$ and if it is a semidomain, the idempotency of multiplication causes $L = \mathbb{B} = \{0,1\}$. With the help of the following theorem, we give a plenty of examples of proper Gaussian and Prüfer semirings. Let us recall that if S is a semiring, then by $\mathrm{FId}(S)$, we mean the semiring of finitely generated ideals of S.

Theorem 23. *Let S be a Prüfer semiring. Then the following statements hold for the semiring* $\mathrm{FId}(S)$*:*

(1) $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ *is a Gaussian semiring.*
(2) $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ *is a subtractive semiring.*
(3) $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ *is an additively idempotent semidoamin and for all $IJ \in (I^2, J^2)$.*
(4) $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ *is a Prüfer semiring.*

Proof. (1): Let $I,J \in \mathrm{FId}(S)$. Since S is a Prüfer semiring and $I \subseteq I+J$, by Theorem 3, there exists an ideal K of S such that $I = K(I+J)$. On the other hand, since I is invertible, K is also invertible. This means that K is finitely generated and therefore $K \in \mathrm{FId}(S)$ and $I \in (I+J)$. Similarly it can be proved that $J \in (I+J)$. So we have $(I,J) = (I+J)$ and by [15, Theorem 8], $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is a Gaussian semiring.

(2): By Theorem 17, every Gaussian semiring is subtractive.

(3): Obviously $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is additively-idempotent and since S is a Prüfer semiring, $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is an additively idempotent semidomain. By Theorem [10, Proposition 4.43], we have $(I+J)^2 = I^2 + J^2$ and so $(I+J)^2 \in (I^2, J^2)$. But $(I+J)^2 = I^2 + J^2 + IJ$ and $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is subtractive. So $IJ \in (I^2, J^2)$.

(4): Since $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is a Gaussian semidomain such that $IJ \in (I^2, J^2)$ for all $I,J \in \mathrm{FId}(S)$, by Theorem 18, $\mathrm{FId}(S)$ is a Prüfer semiring and this is what we wanted to prove. □

REFERENCES

[1] J. T. Arnold and R. Gilmer, *On the content of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1970), 556–562.

[2] S. Bazzoni and S. Glaz, *Gaussian properties of total rings of quotients*, J. Algebra **310** (2007), no. 1, 180–193.

[3] S. Bourne, *The Jacobson radical of a semiring*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **37** (1951), 163–170.

[4] R. Dedekind, *Über die Theorie der ganzen algebraiscen Zahlen*, Supplement XI to P.G. Lejeune Dirichlet: Vorlesung über Zahlentheorie 4 Aufl., Druck und Verlag, Braunschweig, 1894.

[5] S. Eilenberg, *Automata, Languages, and Machines*, Vol. A., Academic Press, New York, 1974.

[6] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.

[7] R. Gilmer, *Some applications of the Hilfsatz von Dedekind-Mertens*, Math. Scand., **20** (1967), 240–244.

[8] K. Glazek, *A guide to the literature on semirings and their applications in mathematics and information sciences*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
[9] J. S. Golan, *Power Algebras over Semirings: with Applications in Mathematics and Computer Science*, Vol. **488**, Springer , 1999.
[10] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
[11] U. Hebisch and H. J. Weinert, *Semirings - Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1998).
[12] C. B. Kim, *A Note on the Localization in Semirings*, Journal of Scientific Institute at Kookmin Univ., **3** (1985), 13–19.
[13] S. LaGrassa, *Semirings: Ideals and Polynomials*, PhD Thesis, University of Iowa, 1995.
[14] M. D. Larsen and P. J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
[15] P. Nasehpour, *On the content of polynomials over semirings and its applications*, to appear in J. Algebra Appl.
[16] P. Nasehpour, *Valuation semirings*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.03354 (2015).
[17] M. L. Noronha Galvão, *Ideals in the semiring N*, Potugal. Math. **37** (1978), 231–235.
[18] H. Prüfer, *Untersuchungen über Teilbarkeitseigenschaften in Körpern*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **168** (1932), 1–36.
[19] F. Smith, *Some remarks on multiplication modules*, Arch. der Math. **50** (1988), 223–235.
[20] H. Tsang, *Gauss' Lemma*, dissertation, University of Chicago, 1965.
[21] Vandiver H.S., *Note on a simple type of algebra in which cancellation law of addition does not hold*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. **40** (1934), 914–920.

SHABAN GHALANDARZADEH, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, K. N. TOOSI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: ghalandarzadeh@kntu.ac.ir

PEYMAN NASEHPOUR, DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: nasehpour@gmail.com

RAFIEH RAZAVI, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, K. N. TOOSI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: rrazavi@mail.kntu.ac.ir